



100 2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR

M.P.No. 911 /1991 Division Bench (Civil)

PETITIONER : Santosh Vedeo House Proprietor
Smt. Prafullabai W/o Shri Shankarrao R.
Raktate, aged about 53 years,
R/o Khairagarh Ward No.7, Khairagarh,
District Rajnandgaon.

-versus-

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of M.P. through
Collector Rajnandgaon.

2. S.D.O. Khairagarh.

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP No. 2111 / 05 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	10-8-2005 Shri Ranbir Singh, Advocate appears on behalf of Ku. Sharmila Singhai, for the petitioner. Shri Sandeep Dubey, Govt. Adv. for the State.. Heard. When the matter is called, Shri Ranbir Singh submits that Ku. Singhai is busy in some other Court. He further submits that she tried to contact the petitioner but no reply has been sent by the petitioner, therefore, she had no instruction and she prayed that S.P.C. be issued to the petitioner. Vide order dated 28-2-2005, S.P.C. was directed to be issued and the Registry issued S.P.C. on 5-3-2005 for 2-5-2005. On 2nd May 2005, Ku. Sharmila Singhai appeared and prayed for 15 days time to file Vakalatnama and to seek instruction from the petitioner. Thereafter on 25-6-2005 also, she appeared. Now a request has been made that petitioner is not responding. Under the circumstances, since the S.P.C. has already been issued to the petitioner and the petitioner is not appearing, it appears that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the petition. The petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. The interim order granted earlier is vacated. Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge	
Pathak		

(पीछे देखिये